



भारत का राजपत्र The Gazette of India

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

सं. 32]

नई दिल्ली, शनिवार, सितम्बर 12, 1992/भाद्रपद 21, 1914

No. 32]

NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 12, 1992/BHADRA 21, 1914

इस भाग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संख्या दी जाती है जिससे कि यह अलग संकलन के रूप में
रखा जा सके

Separate Paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a
separate compilation

भाग II—खण्ड 3—उप-खण्ड (iii)

PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (iii)

(संघ राज्य क्षेत्र प्रशासनों को छोड़कर) केन्द्रीय अधिकारियों द्वारा जारी किए गए आदेश और अधिसूचनाएँ
Orders and Notifications Issued by Central Authorities (other than Administrations of Union
Territories)

भारत निर्वाचन आयोग

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 7 अगस्त, 1992

आ० सं० 223:—निर्वाचन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि नीचे की सारणी के स्तम्भ (2) में यथा विनिर्दिष्ट उत्तर प्रदेश विधान सभा के साधारण निर्वाचन के लिए जो स्तम्भ (3) में विनिर्दिष्ट निर्वाचन क्षेत्र से हुआ है, स्तम्भ (4) में उसके सामने विनिर्दिष्ट निर्वाचन लड़ने वाला प्रत्येक अभ्यर्थी, लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 तथा तद्वीन बनाए गए नियमों द्वारा अपेक्षित उक्त सारणी के स्तम्भ (5) में यथा दर्शित अपने निर्वाचन व्ययों का लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहा है।

और उक्त अभ्यर्थियों ने सम्यक सूचना दिए जाने पर भी उक्त असफलता के लिए कोई कारण अथवा स्पष्टीकरण नहीं दिया है और निर्वाचन आयोग का यह समाधान हो गया है कि उनके पास उक्त असफलता के लिए कोई पर्याप्त कारण या न्यायोचित्य नहीं है,

अतः अब, निर्वाचन आयोग उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 10-क के अनुसरण में नीचे की सारणी के स्तम्भ (4) में विनिर्दिष्ट व्यक्तियों को संसद के किसी भी सदन के या किसी राज्य की विधान सभा अथवा विधान परिषद् के सदस्य चुने जाने और होने के लिए इस आदेश की तारीख से तीन वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए निरहित घोषित करता है।

सारणी

क्र० सं० निर्वाचन का विवरण	निर्वाचन क्षेत्र की क्र० सं० और नाम	निर्वाचन लड़ने वाले अभ्यर्थी का नाम और पता	निराहिता का कारण	
1	2	3	4	5
1. उत्तर प्रदेश विधान सभा के लिए साधारण निर्वाचन, 1990	40-बिर्मीली	श्री रवेन्द्र उर्फ अर्जुन सिंह, सूर्य इन्केलेष, विकास मार्ग एक्सटेन्सन, आनन्द विकास, दिल्ली-110012	निर्वाचन व्ययों का कोई भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे।	
2. -वही-	93-सफीपुर (अ. जा.)	श्री मेवा लाल, ग्राम बगहरी, पो. हफीजाबाद जिला उम्राव (उ. प्र.)	-वही-	
3. -वही-	100-महोना	श्री शिव प्रसाद, दुर्गागंज मजरे अमेठिया, सलेमपुर, लखनऊ	-वही-	
4. -वही-	-वही-	श्री उमेश चन्द्र शुक्ला, सी-1265, इन्दिरा नगर, लखनऊ	-वही-	
5. -वही-	-वही-	श्री राम खेलावन, मकरन्दपुर रूवही बखशी का तानाब लखनऊ	-वही-	
6. -वही-	102-लखनऊ पश्चिम	श्री बांके बिहारी, 318/1-ए, कवाटीपुर चन्द्र, चौक लखनऊ	-वही-	
7. -वही-	-वही-	श्री सलीम, 36-शाहगंज, लखनऊ	-वही-	
8. -वही-	-वही-	श्री कुंवर किशन, 6 खुनखुन जी रोड़, चौक, लखनऊ	-वही-	
9. -वही-	-वही-	श्री सतीश चन्द्र पाण्डेय, 500/44/27, कुतुबपुर, रामाधीन सिंह रोड़, डालीगंज, लखनऊ	-वही-	
10. -वही-	-वही-	श्रीमती शुषमा गुप्ता, 55-एम० आई० जी०, सेक्टर-ई० अलीगंज, लखनऊ	-वही-	

1	2	3	4	5
11.	उत्तर प्रदेश विधान सभा के लिए साधारण निर्वाचन 1990	102-लखनऊ पश्चिम	श्री छोटे लाल वर्मा 100, शेखपुरा कालोमी, कुर्सी रोड़, लखनऊ	निर्वाचित व्ययों का कोई भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहें।
12.	-वही-	-वही-	श्री गरीब गों, ग्राम धोडसारा, पो० बहरोली, लखनऊ	-वही-
13.	-वही-	127-चांदा	श्री गंगाधीन, ग्राम जगदीशपुर, पो० मिश्रपुर, सुल्तानपुर	-वही-
14.	-वही-	27-चांदा	श्री राम कृपाल, ग्राम व पो० मल्लीपुर, सुल्तानपुर	-वही-
15.	-वही-	-वही-	श्री भरमान अली, ग्राम जगदीशपुर, पो० मिश्रपुर सुल्तानपुर	-वही-
16.	-वही-	156-बलरामपुर	श्री निजामुद्दीन, मो० पुरानिया तालाब, बलरामपुर, जिला गोण्डा	-वही-
17.	-वही-	-वही-	श्री बंश राज, मो० पूरबटोल, बलरामपुर, जिला गोण्डा	-वही-
18.	-वही-	-वही-	श्री लिशकत अली, मो० पुरनिया तालाब, बलरामपुर, जिला गोण्डा	-वही-
19.	-वही-	-वही-	श्री स्वामी नाथ, ग्राम उदयरामपुर, पो० हंमुवाडोल जिला गोण्डा	-वही-
20.	-वही-	-वही-	श्री अब्दुल हसन खाँ, मो० पुरनिया तालाब, बलरामपुर, जिला गोण्डा	-वही-
21.	-वही-	162-कटरा बाजार	श्री अशोक कुमार ग्राम राजपुर, पो० बटवरा बस्तावर, जिला गोण्डा	-वही-
22.	-वही-	227-बलिया	श्री परमरत्ना, ग्राम सरफुद्दीनपुर, पो० खोरीनाकड, जिला बलिया	-वही-

1	2	3	4	5
23.	उत्तर प्रदेश विधान सभा के लिए साधारण निर्वाचन, 1990	240—मुगलसराय	श्री अमरनाथ ग्राम खेसा, पो० जन्तो की मडई, वाराणसी	निर्वाचन व्ययों का कोई भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे।
24.	—वही—	243—वाराणसी उत्तर	श्री असीरुद्दीन जे० 21/232 रसूलपुरा, वाराणसी	—वही—
25.	—वही—	—वही—	श्री गजब अली, जे० 21/35 रसूलपुरा, वाराणसी	—वही—
26.	—वही—	—वही—	श्री राम नारायण, ग्राम व पो० मरूई, वाराणसी	—वही—
27.	—वही—	246—गंगापुर	श्री भानू, टडिया ठोहरपुर, वाराणसी	—वही—
28.	—वही—	—वही—	श्री लाल बहादुर बच्छाब, वाराणसी	—वही—
29.	—वही—	249—मदौही (अ० जा०)	श्री मुरजू, भटेबरा, पो० मूरियावा, वाराणसी	—वही—
30.	—वही—	—वही—	श्री पद्मा लाल, चौरी खास, पो० परसीपुर, वाराणसी	—वही—
31.	—वही—	277—इलाहाबाद (पश्चिम)	श्री गुलाम हसनैन, 23, याकूतगंज, इलाहाबाद	—वही—
32.	—वही—	—वही—	श्री पटेल सिंह चौहान, ग्राम शाहा उर्फ पीपलगांव, इलाहाबाद	—वही—
33.	—वही—	—वही—	श्री पद्मा लाल ग्राम व पो० सहलाहपुर, इलाहाबाद	—वही—
34.	—वही—	328—महोवा	श्री मरू लाल कुशवाहा, मु० कटरा पश्चिमी, वांदा	—वही—
35.	—वही—	—वही—	श्रीमती बेचेबाई ग्राम गंज पो० गरीली, तह० कुलपहाड,	—वही—
36.	—वही—	—वही—	श्री श्याम कुमार, मु० भटियानापुरा, महोवा	—वही—

1	2	3	4	5
37.	उत्तर प्रदेश विधान सभा के लिए साधारण निर्वाचन, 1990	249—भदोही (प्र० जा०)	श्री छोटे लाल, पुत्र विम्मडा ग्राम तलापुर बहादुरान, पो० सूरियावाँ, वाराणसी	निर्वाचन व्ययों का कोई भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे।
38.	—वही—	398—खरखीवा	श्री सन्त सिंह, मोहरा आलमगीरपुर, पो० खास, मेरठ	—वही—

[सं० 76/उ० प्र०—वि० ख०/90]

आदेश से,

बलबल सिंह, सचिव

ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA

ORDER

New Delhi, the 7th August, 1992

O.N. 223.—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that each of the contesting candidate specified in column 4 of the table below at the General Election to the Uttar Pradesh Legislative Assembly as specified in column (2) held from the constituency specified in column (3) against his name has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951 and the rules made thereunder as shown in column A(5) of the said Table :

And, whereas, the said candidates have not furnished any reason or explanation for the said failure even after due notice and the Election Commission is thus satisfied that they have no good reason or justification for the said failure;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the persons specified in Column (4) of the Table below to be disqualified for being chosen as, and for being a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of 3 years from the date of this order:—

TABLE

Sl. No.	Particulars of election	No. and Name of Constituency	Name and address of contesting candidate	Reason for disqualification
1	2	3	4	5
1.	General Election to the Uttar Pradesh Legislative Assembly, 1989.	40—Bisauli	Sh. Ravendra Alias Arjun Singh, Surya Enclave, Vikas Marg Extension, Anand Vikas, Delhi 12.	Failure to lodge any account of election expenses.
2.	-do-	93—Safipur (S.C.)	Sh. Mewa Lal, Vill. Baghari, Post, Hafijabad, Distt. Unnao (UP)	-do-
3.	-do-	100—Mahoda	Sh. Shiv Prasad, Durgaganj Majre, Amethia Salempur, Lucknow (U.P.)	-do-
4.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Umesh Chander Shukla, C-1265, Indira Nagar, Lucknow (U.P.)	-do-

1	2	3	4	5
5.	General Election to the Uttar Pradesh Legislative Assembly, 1989.	100—Mahoda	Sh. Ram Khelawan, Makrandpur Rudahi Bakshi ka Talab Lucknow (U.P.)	Failure to lodge any account of election expenses.
6.	-do-	102—Lucknow West	Sh. Banko Bihari, 318/1-A Kwatipar Chander, Chowk Lucknow (U.P.)	-do-
7.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Saleem, 36—Shahganj, Lucknow (U.P.)	-do-
8.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Kunwar Kishan, 6, Khunkhun Ji Road, Chowk, Lucknow (U.P.)	-do-
9.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Satish Chandra Pandey, 500/44/27 Kutubpur Ramadhin Singh Road Daliganj, Lucknow.	-do-
10.	-do-	-do-	Smt. Shusma Gupta, 55—M.I.G. Sector-E, Aliganj Lucknow (U.P.)	-do-
11.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Chhotey Lal Verma, 100, Shekhpura Colony, Kursi Road, Lucknow (U.P.)	-do-
12.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Garib Go, Dhodsara, Post Bahrauli Lucknow (U.P.)	-do-
13.	-do-	27—Chanda	Sh. Gangaddin, Vill. Jagdishpur, Post Mishrapur, Sultanpur (U.P.)	-do-
14.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Ram Kripal, Vill. & Post Malhipur Sultanpur (U.P.)	-do-
15.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Arman Ali, Vill. Jagdishpur, Post. Mishrapur Sultanpur (U.P.)	-do-
16.	-do-	156—Balrampur	Sh. Nizamuddin, Moh. Purnia Talab Balrampur, Distt. Gonda (U.P.)	-do-
17.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Bansh Raj, Moh. Purabtol, Balrampur, Distt. Gonda (U.P.)	-do-
18.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Liyakat Ali, Moh. Purnia Talab, Balrampur, Distt. Gonda (U.P.)	-do-
19.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Swami Nath, Vill. Udeirajpurwa Post Hansuwadol, Distt. Gonda (U.P.)	-do-

1	2	3	4	5
20.	General Election to the Uttar Pradesh Legislative Assembly, 1989.	156-Balrampur	Sh. Abdul Hasan Khan, Moh. Purnia Talab, Balrampur, Distt. Gonda (U.P.)	Failure to lodge any account of election expense..
21.	-do-	162-Katra Bazar	Sh. Ashok Kumar, Vill. Pajpur, Post. Batura Vakhtawar, Distt. Gonda (U.P.)	-do-
22.	-do-	227-Ballia	Sh. Parnatma, Vill. Sarfuddinpur Post. Kharipakar Distt. Ballia (U.P.)	-do-
23.	-do-	240-Mughalsarai	Sh. Abhai Nath, Vill. Reysa, Post. Janso ki Madai Varanasi (U.P.)	-do-
24.	-do-	243-Varanasi North	Sh. Amraddin, J 21/232 Rasulpura Varanasi (U.P.)	-do-
25.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Gazab Ali, J 21/35 Rasulpura Varanasi (U.P.)	-do-
26.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Ram Narayan, Vill. & Post Marui Varanasi (UP)	-do-
27.	-do-	246-Gangapur	Sh. Bhanu, Tadia, Dhadhorpur Varanasi (U.P.)	-do-
28.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Lal Bahadur, Bachhanw, Varanasi (U.P.)	-do-
29.	-do-	249-Bhadohi (S.C.)	Sh. Surju, Bhatevra, Post. Surianwa Varanasi (U.P.)	-do-
30.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Panna Lal, Chauri Khas, Post. Parsipur, Varanasi (U.P.)	-do-
31.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Chhotey Lal S/o Sh. Vimmda Vill. Talapur Bahaduran, Post. Surianwa Varanasi (U.P.)	-do-
32.	-do-	277-Allahabad West	Sh. Gulam Hussain, 23 Yakutvanj Allahabad (UP)	-do-
33.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Patel Singh Chauhan, Vill. Sahcha Alia Pipalganj, Allahabad (U.P.)	-do-
34.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Panna Lal, Vill. & Post. Sallahpur, Allahabad (U.P.)	-do-
35.	-do-	325-Mahoba	Sh. Nathu Lal Kushwaha, R/o Katra Paschmi, Banda (U.P.)	-do-

1	2	3	4	5
36.	General Election to the Uttar Pradesh Legislative Assembly, 1989.	325-Mahoba	Smt. Beche Bai, Vill. Ganj, Post. Garauli, Teh. Kulpahar (U.P.),	Failure to lodge any account of election expenses.
37.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Shyam Kumar, R/o Bhatianapura Mahoba (U.P.),	-do-
38.	-do-	398-Kharkhauda	Sh. Sant Singh, Mohra Alamgirpur Post Khas, Meerut (U.P.)	-do-

[No. 76/UP-LA/90]

By Order,

BALWANT SINGH, Secy.

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 7 अगस्त, 1992

आ. अ. 224 :—निर्वाचन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि नीचे की सारणी के स्तम्भ (2) में यथा विनिर्दिष्ट मध्य प्रदेश विधान सभा के साधारण निर्वाचन के लिए जो स्तम्भ (3) में विनिर्दिष्ट निर्वाचन क्षेत्र से हुआ है, स्तम्भ (4) में उसके सामने विनिर्दिष्ट निर्वाचन लड़ने वाला प्रत्येक अभ्यर्थी, लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 तथा तख्तीन बनाए गए नियमों द्वारा अपेक्षित उक्त सारणी के स्तम्भ (5) में यथा वर्णित अपने निर्वाचन व्ययों का लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहा है;

और उक्त अभ्यर्थियों ने सम्यक सूचना दिए जाने पर भी उक्त असफलता के लिए कोई कारण अथवा स्पष्टीकरण नहीं दिया है और निर्वाचन आयोग का यह समाधान हो गया है कि उनके पास उक्त असफलता के लिए कोई पर्याप्त कारण या व्यापारिक नहीं है;

अतः अब, निर्वाचन आयोग उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 10क के अनुसरण में नीचे की सारणी के स्तम्भ (4) में विनिर्दिष्ट व्यक्तियों को संसद के किसी भी सदन के या किसी राज्य की विधान सभा अथवा विधान परिषद के सदस्य चुने जाने और होने के लिए आदेश की तारीख से तीन वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए निरहित घोषित करता है।

सारणी

क्र. सं.	निर्वाचन का विवरण	निर्वाचन क्षेत्र की क्र. सं. और नाम	निर्वाचन लड़ने वाले अभ्यर्थी का नाम और पता	निरर्हता का कारण
1	2	3	4	5
1.	मध्यप्रदेश विधान सभा के लिए साधारण निर्वाचन, 1990	9-गोहद (अ. जा.) विधान सभा निर्वा. क्षेत्र	श्री बैजनाथ माहौर ग्राम व पो.—भगवासा तहसील गोहद, जिला भिण्ड, (म. प्र.)	निर्वाचन व्ययों का कोई भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहा।
2.	—वही—	—वही—	श्री मकरन्द अल्पना टाकीज के पीछे तिकोनिया मुरार, खालियर, मध्य प्रदेश	—वही—

1	2	3	4	5
3.	मध्य प्रदेश विधान सभा के लिए साधारण निर्वाचन, 1990	14-लहार विधान सभा, निर्वाचन क्षेत्र	श्री साहब सिंह ग्राम ब पो.—मुरावली थाना दबोहा, जिला भिण्ड	निर्वाचन व्ययों का कोई भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहा।
4.	—वही—	77-वेवसर (अ. ज. जा.) विधान सभा निर्वाचन क्षेत्र	श्री धनुकधारी ग्राम—करहिया पो.—बैरदह जिला—सीधी (म. प्र.)	—वही—
5.	—वही—	80-उमरिया विधान सभा निर्वाचन क्षेत्र	श्री राम मिलन ग्राम—मढ़ईकला पो.—बिजौरी जिला—शहडोल	—वही—
6.	—वही—	84-अनूपपुर (अ. ज. जा.) विधान सभा, निर्वाचन क्षेत्र	श्री अशोक जुमना कालरी, जिला—शहडोल मध्य प्रदेश	—वही—
7.	—वही—	—वही—	श्री आनंदराम सिंह ग्राम—जुमना कालरी, जिला— शहडोल मध्य प्रदेश	—वही—
8.	—वही—	85-सोहागपुर विधान सभा निर्वाचन क्षेत्र	श्री शम्भूराज सिंह दफाई क्र. 3 पसान जिला—शहडोल मध्य प्रदेश	—वही—
9.	—वही—	108-कटघोरा विधान सभा निर्वाचन क्षेत्र	श्री हरीराम बाल्की नगर तह.—कटघोरा जिला—बिलासपुर (मध्य प्रदेश)	—वही—
10.	—वही—	121-पामगढ़ विधान सभा निर्वाचन क्षेत्र	श्री गंगा राम ग्राम—सिलादेही पो.—बिरा व्हाया बम्हनीडीह जिला-बिलासपुर, मध्य प्रदेश	—वही—
11.	—वही—	144-कुरुद विधान सभा निर्वाचन क्षेत्र	श्री महेश सिंह बवा नं. 12 ए, सड़क नं. 5 सेक्टर नं. 4 भिलाई नगर मध्य प्रदेश	—वही—
12.	—वही—	150-भानपुरी (अ. ज. जा.) विधान सभा निर्वाचन क्षेत्र	श्री गोष्ठी राम ग्राम कोलावल, तह. जगवल- पुर, जिला बस्तर (म. प्र.)	—वही—
13.	—वही—	158-मारो (अ. जा.) विधान सभा निर्वाचन क्षेत्र	श्री सम्मेलानि ग्राम बोरसी, पो. आ. तालदेवरी, व्हाया बिरा, जिला बिलासपुर, मध्य प्रदेश	—वही—
14.	—वही—	275-सावेर (अ. जा.) विधान सभा निर्वाचन क्षेत्र	कु. अनीता वर्मा द्वारा इन्दु आईल मिल पालिया, चन्दर मार्ग, मुह छावनी, इंदौर (म. प्र.)	—वही—

[सं. 76/म. प्र.-वि. स. / 91 (10)]

आदेश से ..

बलवन्त सिंह, सचिव

ORDER

New Delhi, the 7th August, 1992

O. No. 224.—Whereas the election Commission is satisfied that each of the contesting candidates specified in column (4) of the Table below at the General Election to the Madhya Pradesh Legislative Assembly as specified in column (2) held from the Constituency specified in column (3) against his/her name has failed to lodge an account of his/her election expenses as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951 and the rules made thereunder as shown in column (5) of the said Table;

And, whereas, the said candidates have not furnished any reason or explanation for the said failure even after due notice and the Election Commission is thus satisfied that they have no good reason or justification for the said failure;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the persons specified in column (4) of the Table below to be disqualified for being chosen as, and for being, a member of either House of the Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of 3 years from the date of this order :—

TABLE

S. No.	Particulars of election	No. and Name of Constituency	Name and address of contesting candidate	Reason for disqualification
1	2	3	4	5
1.	General Election to the Madhya Pradesh Legislative Assembly, 1990.	9—Gohad (SC) Assembly Constituency	Shri Baijnath Mahore, Vill. & Post Bhagwasa, Tah. Gohad, Distt. Bhind, Madhya Pradesh.	Failure to lodge any account of election expenses.
2.	-do-	-do-	Shri Makrand, Behind Alpna Talkes, Tikoniya Morar, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh.	-do-
3.	-do-	14—Lahar Assembly Constituency	Shri Sahab Singh, Vill. & Post. Muravli, Thana Daboh, Distt. Bhind, Madhya Pradesh.	-do-
4.	-do-	77—Deosar (ST) Assembly Constituency	Shri Dhanukdhari, Vill. Karahiya, Post Bairdah, Distt. Sidhi, Madhya Pradesh.	-do-
5.	-do-	80—Umaria Assembly Constituency	Shri Ram Milan, Vill. Marai Tola, Post Bijauri, Distt. Shahdol, Madhya Pradesh.	-do-
6.	-do-	84—Anuppur (ST) Assembly Constituency	Shri Ashok, Jamuna Collary, Distt. Shahdol, Madhya Pradesh.	-do-
7.	-do-	-do-	Shri Anandram Singh, Vill. Jamuna Collary, Distt. Shahdol, Madhya Pradesh.	-do-
8.	-do-	85—Sohagpur Assembly Constituency	Shri Sakharaj Singh, Dafai No. 3, Pasan, Distt. Shahdol, Madhya Pradesh.	-do-

1	2	3	4	5
9. General Election to the Madhya Pradesh Legislative Assembly, 1990.		108—Katghora Assembly Constituency	Shri Hari Ram, Balco Nagar, Tah. Katghora, Distt. Bilaspur, Madhya Pradesh.	Failure to lodge any account of election expenses.
10.	-do-	121—Ramgarh Assembly Constituency	Shri Ganga Ram, Vill. Siladehi, Post Birar, Via Bamhanidih, Distt. Bilaspur, Madhya Pradesh.	-do-
11.	-do-	144—Khurd Assembly Constituency	Shri Mahesh Singh, Quarter No. 12-A, Street No. 5, Sector-4, Bhilai Nagar, Madhya Pradesh.	-do-
12.	-do-	150—Bhanpuri (ST) Assembly Constituency	Shri Goshthi Ram, Vill. Kolwal, Tah. Jagdalpur, Distt. Bastar, Madhya Pradesh.	-do-
13.	-do-	158—Maro (SC) Assembly Constituency	Shri Samme Lal, Vill. Borsi, P.O. Taldewari Via Virra, Distt. Bilaspur, Madhya Pradesh.	-do-
14.	-do-	275—Sanwer (SC) Assembly Constituency	K . Anita Varma, C/o Indu Oil Mills, Palia, Madhya Pradesh.	-do-

[No. 76/MP-LA/91(10)]

By Order,
BALWANT SINGH, Secy.

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 7 अगस्त, 1992

ग्रा. प्र० 225 :—निर्वाचन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि नीचे की सारणी के स्तम्भ (2) में यथा विनिर्दिष्ट लोकसभा के साधारण निर्वाचन, 1991 के लिए जो स्तम्भ (3) में विनिर्दिष्ट निर्वाचन-क्षेत्र से हुआ है, स्तम्भ (4) में उसके सामने विनिर्दिष्ट निर्वाचन लड़ने वाला प्रत्येक अभ्यर्थी, लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 तथा तख्तों बनाए गए नियमों द्वारा अपेक्षित उक्त सारणी के स्तम्भ (5) में यथा दर्शित अपने निर्वाचन व्ययों का लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहा है ;

और, उक्त अभ्यर्थियों ने सम्यक सूचना दिए जाने पर भी उक्त असफलता के लिए कोई कारण अथवा स्पष्टीकरण नहीं दिया है और निर्वाचन आयोग का यह समाधान हो गया है कि उनके पास उक्त असफलता के लिए कोई पर्याप्त कारण या न्यायोचित्य नहीं है ;

अतः, अब, निर्वाचन आयोग, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 10-क के अनुसरण में नीचे की सारणी के स्तम्भ (4) में विनिर्दिष्ट व्यक्तियों को संसद के किसी भी सदन के या किसी राज्य/संघ राज्य क्षेत्र की विधान सभा अथवा विधान परिषद् के सदस्य चुने जाने और होने के लिए आदेश की तारीख से तीन वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए निरहित घोषित करता है।

सारणी

क्र. सं.	निर्वाचन का विवरण	निर्वाचन क्षेत्र की क्र. सं. और नाम	निर्वाचन लड़ने वाले अभ्यर्थी का नाम और पता	निरहेता का कारण
1	2	3	4	5
1.	लोकसभा के लिए साधारण निर्वाचन, 1991	8—जंगीपुर संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्र	श्री खैरुल बशर, गांव—बोपारा, डाकघर—सागर-डिधी, जिला—मुर्शिदाबाद, पश्चिम बंगाल	निर्वाचन व्ययों का कोई भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे।
2.	—वही—	—वही—	श्री शेख अमानुल्ला हाजी गांव और डाकघर—भावता, जिला—मुर्शिदाबाद पश्चिम बंगाल	—वही—

1	2	3	4	5
3. लोकसभा के लिए साधारण निर्वाचन, 1991	11—कृष्ण नगर संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्र	श्री श्रीमंत मजुमदार गांव और डाकघर—दुर्गापुर, जिला—नादिया, पश्चिम बंगाल । श्री स्वप्न अधिकारी, स्वामी विवेकानंद रोड, (दक्षिणपारा) डाकघर—राणाघाट, जिला— नादिया, पश्चिम बंगाल ।	निर्वाचन व्ययों का कोई लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे । —वही—	
4. —वही—	—वही—	श्री किरन मंडल, गांव—तलताला, डाकघर— कल्याणी, जिला—नादिया, पश्चिम बंगाल	—वही—	
5. —वही—	12-नवद्वीप (ध. जा.) संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्र	श्रीमती लैलूनिशा, 6-सी, दिलखुश स्ट्रीट, कलकत्ता—17, पश्चिम बंगाल ।	—वही—	
6. —वही—	17-डायमण्ड हारबर संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्र	श्री जोवेद अली लोस्कर, गांव—फरीदपुर, डाकघर— मलिकपुर, पु. स्टे. बारुईपुर, दक्षिण 24-परगना, पश्चिम बंगाल	—वही—	
7. —वही—	18-जादवपुर संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्र	श्री विनय कौशिक, 1/1 बी, रामकमल स्ट्रीट, किड्डरपोर, कलकत्ता-23, पश्चिम बंगाल ।	—वही—	
8. —वही—	—वही—	श्री शिवाजी घोष, इच्छापुर मानिकटला (लिच्छुबागान) डाकघर—इच्छापुर-नवाबगंज, नाओपारा, उत्तर 24-परगना, पश्चिम बंगाल	—वही—	
9. —वही—	19-बैरकपोर संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्र	श्री सुनील कुमार राय, 119-ए, चटक रोड कंचरापारा, उत्तर 24-परगना, पश्चिम बंगाल	—वही—	
10. —वही—	—वही—	श्री बंदना विश्वास, 45-चेतला रोड, कलकत्ता-700027, पश्चिम बंगाल ।	—वही—	
11. —वही—	23-कलकत्ता दक्षिण संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्र	श्री राज रणछोड़ चन्द, भंडारी, 33/2, शरत बोस रोड, कलकत्ता—700020, पश्चिम बंगाल	—वही—	
12. —वही—	—वही—	श्री इंद्रजीत सोल 140, विवेकानंद रोड, डाक घर—शिवराफूली, जिला—दुर्गाली, पश्चिम बंगाल ।	—वही—	
13. —वही—	26-सेरामपोर संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्र			

1	2	3	4	5
14.	लोक सभा के लिए साधारण निर्वाचन, 1991	33-झाड़ग्राम (अ. ज. जा.) संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्र	श्री जयराम मंडो, गांव—रघुनाथपुर, डाकघर—झाड़ग्राम, जिला—मिदनापुर, पश्चिम बंगाल।	निर्वाचन व्ययों का कोई भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे।
15.	—वही—	38-आसनसोल संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्र	श्री विकास चन्द्र घोष, रामबंध, डाकघर—अर्नपुर, जिला—बर्दवान, पश्चिम बंगाल।	—वही—
16.	—वही—	41—बोलपुर संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्र	श्री अलोक मुखर्जी, गांव—कालिकापुर, डाकघर—बोलपुर, जिला—बीरभूम, पश्चिम बंगाल।	—वही—
17.	—वही—	—वही—	श्री मती श्यामली घोष, गांव—बंसलोई, डाकघर—बलिया पालसा, जिला—बीरभूम, पश्चिम बंगाल।	—वही—

[सं. 76/प. बं.—लो. स. / 91]

आदेश से,
बलबन्त सिंह, सचिव

ORDER

New Delhi, the 7th August, 1992

O.N. 225.—Whereas, the Election Commission is satisfied that each of the contesting candidates specified in column (4) of the Table below at the General Election to the House of the People, 1991, as specified in column (2) and held from the constituency specified in column (3) against his name has failed to lodge any account of his election expenses as shown in column (5) of the said Table, as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951 and the Rules made thereunder;

And whereas, none of the said candidates has furnished any reason or explanation for the said failure even after due notice, and the Election Commission is thus satisfied that he has no good reason or justification for the said failure;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the persons specified in column (4) of the Table below to be disqualified for being chosen as and for being a member of either House of the Parliament or the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State/Union Territory for a period of three year from the date of this order.

TABLE

S. Particulars of election No.	S. No. & Name of the Constituency	Name and address of the candidate	Reason for disqualification
1	2	3	4
1. General Election to the House of the People, 1991	8-Jangipur Parliamentary Constituency	Shri Khairul Basar, Vill. Porpara, P.O. Sagardighi, Distt. Murshidabad, West Bengal.	Failed to lodge any account of election expenses.

1	2	3	4	5
2.	General Election to the House of the People, 1991.	8—Jangipur Parliamentary Constituency	Sh. Sk. Amanullah Hazi, Vill & P.O. Bhabta, Distt. Murshidabad, West Bengal.	Failed to lodge any account of election expenses.
3.	—do—	11—Krishnagar Parliamentary Constituency	Shri Srimanta Majumdar, P.O. & Vill. Durgapur, Distt. Nadia, West Bengal.	—do—
4.	—do—	—do—	Shri Swapan Adhikary, Swami Vibekananda Road, (Dakshinpara), P.O. Ranaghat, Distt. Nadia, West Bengal.	—do—
5.	—do—	12—Nabadwip (SC) Parliamentary Constituency.	Shri Kiran Mandal Vill. Taltala, P.O. Kalyani, Distt. Nadia, West Bengal.	—do—
6.	—do—	17—Diamond Harbour Parliamentary Constituency	Shrimati Lailunnesha, 6—C, Dilkhusa Street, Calcutta-17, West Bengal.	—do—
7.	—do—	18—Jadavpur Parliamentary Constituency.	Shri Jobed Ali Losket, Village—Faridpur, P.O. Mallickpur, P.S. Baruipur, South 24—Parganas, West Bengal.	—do—
8.	—do—	—do—	Shri Vinay Kaushik, 1/1B, Ramkamal Street, Kidderpore, Calcutta-23, West Bengal.	—do—
9.	—do—	19—Barrackpore Parliamentary Constituency	Shri Sibaji Ghosh, Ichhapur Maniktala, (Lichubagan), P.O. Ichhapur-Nawabganj, Noapara, North 24—Parganas, West Bengal.	—do—
10.	—do—	19—Barrackpore Parliamentary Constituency	Shri Sunil Kumar Roy, 119A, Chatak Road, Kancharapara, North 24—Parganas, West Bengal.	—do—
11.	—do—	23—Calcutta South Parliamentary Constituency	Shri Bandana Biswas, 45, Chetla Road, Calcutta-700027, West Bengal.	—do—
12.	—do—	—do—	Shri Rajranchhor Chand Bhandari, 33/2, Sarat Bose Road, Calcutta-700020, West Bengal.	—do—

1	2	3	4	5
13.	General Election to the House of the People, 1991	26-Serampore Parliamentary Constituency	Shri Indrajit Seal, 140, Vivekananda Road, P.O. Sheoraphuli, Distt. Hooghly, West Bengal.	Failed to lodge any account of election expenses.
14.	—do—	33-Jhargram (ST) Parliamentary Constituency	Shri Joyram Mandi, Vill. Raghunathpur, P.O. Jhargram, Distt. Midnapore, West Bengal	—do—
15.	—do—	38-Asansol Parliamentary Constituency	Shri Bikash Chandra Ghosh Rambandh, P.O. Burnpur, Distt. Burdwan, West Bengal.	—do—
16.	—do—	41-Bolpur Parliamentary Constituency	Shri Aloke Mukherjee Vill. Kalikapur, P.O. Bolpur, Distt. Birbhum, West Bengal	—do—
17.	—do—	—do—	Shrimati Shyamali Ghosh, Vill. Bansloi, P.O. Balia Palsa, Distt. Birbhum, West Bengal.	—do—

[No. 76/WB-HP/91]

By Order,

BALWANT SINGH, Secy.

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 7 अगस्त, 1992

प्रा. प्र. 226.—निर्वाचन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि नीचे की सारणी के स्तम्भ (2) में यथा विनिर्दिष्ट पश्चिम बंगाल विधानसभा के साधारण निर्वाचन, 1991 के लिए जो स्तम्भ (3) में विनिर्दिष्ट निर्वाचन लड़ने वाला प्रत्येक अभ्यर्थी, लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 तथा तद्वीन बनाए गए नियमों द्वारा अपेक्षित उक्त सारणी के स्तम्भ (5) में यथा दक्षित अपने निर्वाचन व्ययों का कोई भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहा है ;

और, उक्त अभ्यर्थियों ने सम्यक सूचना दिए जाने पर भी उक्त असफलता के लिए कोई कारण अथवा स्पष्टीकरण नहीं दिया है, और निर्वाचन आयोग का यह समाधान हो गया है कि उनके पास उक्त असफलता के लिए कोई पर्याप्त कारण या न्यायोचित्य नहीं है ;

अतः, अब, निर्वाचन आयोग उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 10-क के अनुसरण में नीचे की सारणी के स्तम्भ (4) में विनिर्दिष्ट व्यक्तियों को संसद के किसी भी सदन के या किसी राज्य/संघ राज्य क्षेत्र की विधान सभा अथवा विधान परिषद के सदस्य चुने जाने और होने के लिए प्रादेश की तारीख से तीन वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए निरहित घोषित करता है।

सारणी

क्र. सं.	निर्वाचन का विवरण	निर्वाचन क्षेत्र की नाम	क्र. सं. और निर्वाचन लड़ने वाले अभ्यर्थी का नाम और पता	निरहता का कारण
1	2	3	4	5
1.	पश्चिम बंगाल विधानसभा के लिए साधारण निर्वाचन, 1991	1-मेकलीगंज (अ. जा.) विधानसभा निर्वाचन क्षेत्र	श्री भूपेन्द्र नाथ राय बासुनिया, गां और पो. आ. भोटबारी, थाना - मेकलीगंज, जिला - कुच, बिहार, पश्चिम बंगाल।	निर्वाचन व्ययों का कोई भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल।
2.	—वही—	11-कालचीनी (अ. ज. जा.) विधान सभा निर्वाचन क्षेत्र	श्री किन् ओराव, गां. लाटावाडी टी. ई., पो. आ. कालचीनी, जिला - जलपाईगुड़ी, पश्चिम बंगाल	—वही—

1	2	3	4	5
3.	पश्चिम बंगाल विधानसभा के लिए साधारण निर्वाचन, 1991	12—अलीपुरद्वारम विधानसभा निर्वाचन क्षेत्र	श्री प्रदीप दास गांव — दक्षिण पनियाल गुड़ी, पो. आ. मझेरदाबरी, जिला — जलपाईगुड़ी, पश्चिम बंगाल	निर्वाचन व्ययों का कोई भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल ।
4.	—वही—	14—मदारीहाट (अ. ज. जा.) विधानसभा निर्वाचन क्षेत्र	श्री कुमार लाल मिज, विमविमा टी. ई. पो. आ. बीरपाड़ा, जिला — जलपाईगुड़ी, पश्चिम बंगाल	—वही—
5.	—वही—	13—मैनागुरी (अ. जा.) विधानसभा निर्वाचन क्षेत्र	श्री चक्रधर राय, गां. गरताली, पो. आ. जलपेश मंदिर जिला — जलपाईगुड़ी, पश्चिम बंगाल	—वही—
6.	—वही—	18—माल (अ. ज. जा.) विधानसभा निर्वाचन क्षेत्र	श्री सुप्रता ओराऊं, गां. पुरबा वमदिम, पो. आ. बाराडीधी, जिला — जलपाईगुड़ी, पश्चिम बंगाल	—वही—
7.	—वही—	20—जलपाईगुड़ी विधानसभा निर्वाचन क्षेत्र	श्री अनिल कुमार राय, गां. बाराकामत, पो. आ. मनिकगंजहट, जिला — जलपाईगुड़ी, पश्चिम बंगाल ।	—वही—
8.	—वही—	—वही—	श्री असल राय, कालिज पारा, पो. आ. और जिला— जलपाईगुड़ी, पश्चिम बंगाल	—वही—
9.	—वही—	21—राजगंज (अ. जा.) विधानसभा निर्वाचन क्षेत्र	श्री बीरेन्द्रनाथ राय, गां. सुभाष पाल्सी, पो. आ. राजगंज जिला — जलपाईगुड़ी, पश्चिम बंगाल	—वही—
10.	—वही—	—वही—	श्री सुधीर चन्द्र सरकार, गां. — पाथालुपारा, पो. आ. — साहुदंगीहाट, जिला — जलपाईगुड़ी पश्चिम बंगाल ।	—वही—
11.	—वही—	102—कुलटाली (अ. जा.) विधान सभा क्षेत्र	श्री नलिनि रंजन मंडल, गां. दक्षिण बेलेदुर्गानगर, पो. आ. उत्तर बेलेदुर्गानगर, जिला — दक्षिण 24—परगना, पश्चिम बंगाल ।	—वही—
12.	—वही—	103—जोयनगर विधानसभा निर्वाचन क्षेत्र	श्री गुलाम नबी मोला, गां. पट्टाला, पो. आ. तालताला, दक्षिण 24—परगना, पश्चिम बंगाल ।	—वही—
13.	—वही—	—वही—	श्री गाजी लियाकत, गां. उत्तरपारा निज, पो. आ. मेदा, थाना — जोयनगर, दक्षिण 24—परगना, पश्चिम बंगाल ।	—वही—

1	2	3	4	5
14.	पश्चिम बंगाल विधानसभा 180—मेरामपोर विधानसभा के लिए साधारण निर्वाचन, निर्वाचन क्षेत्र 1991		श्री अविजित घोष, 6/बी, चात्रा घोष, पारा प्रथम लेन, मेरामपोर, पश्चिम बंगाल।	निर्वाचन व्ययों का कोई भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल।
15.	—वही—	—वही—	श्री मनमथ नाथ मन्ना, 28—चौधरी पारालेन, मेरामपोर, पश्चिम बंगाल।	—वही—
16.	—वही—	186—चिनमुराह विधानसभा निर्वाचन क्षेत्र	श्री ज्योमकेस बन्दोउपाध्याय, बालागढ़ रोड, पो. आ. और जिला हुगली, पश्चिम बंगाल।	—वही—

[सं. 76/प. बं. वि. स./91]

आदेश से,

बलवन्त सिंह, सचिव

ORDER

New Delhi, the 7th August, 1992

O.N. 226 :—Whereas, the Election Commission is satisfied that each of the contesting candidates specified in column (4) of the Table below at the General Election to West Bengal Legislative Assembly, 1991, as specified in column (2) and held from the constituency specified in column (3) against his name has failed to lodge any account of his election expenses as shown in column (5) of the said Table, as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951 and the Rules made thereunder;

And whereas, none of the said candidates has furnished any reason or explanation for the said failure even after due notice, and the Election Commission is thus satisfied that he has no good reason or justification for the said failure:

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the persons specified in column (4) of the Table below to be disqualified for being chosen as and for being a member of either House of the Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State/Union Territory for a period of three years from the date of this order.

TABLE

S. No.	Particulars of election	S. No. & Name of the Constituency	Name and address of the candidate	Reason for disqualification
1.	General election to West Bengal Legislative Assembly, 1991	1—Mekliganj (SC) Assembly Constituency	Shri Bhupendra Nath Roy Basunia, Vill & P.O. Bhotabari, P.S. Mekliganj, Distt. Cooch Behar, West Bengal.	Failed to lodge any account of election expenses.

1	2	3	4	5
2	General Election to West Bengal Legislative Assembly, 1991	11-Kalchini (ST) Assembly Constituency	Shri Kinoo Orao, Vill. Latabari T.E., P.O. Kalchini, Distt. Jalpaiguri, West Bengal.	Failed to lodge any account of election expenses
3	—do—	12-Alipurduar Assembly Constituency	Shri Pradip Das, Vill. South Panialguri, P.O. Majherdabri, Distt. Jalpaiguri, West Bengal.	—do—
4	—do—	14-Madarihat (ST) Assembly Constituency	Shri Kumar Lal Minj Dindima T.E., P.O. Birpara, Distt. Jalpaiguri, West Bengal.	—do—
5	—do—	17-Maynaguri (SC) Assembly Constituency	Shri Chakradhar Ray, Vill. Gartali, P.O. Jalpeshmandir, Distt. Jalpaiguri, West Bengal.	—do—
6	—do—	18-Mal (ST) Assembly Constituency	Shri Subrata Oraon, Vill. Purba Damdim, P.O. Baradighi, Distt. Jalpaiguri, West Bengal.	—do—
7	—do—	20-Jalpaiguri Assembly Constituency	Shri Anil Kumar Roy, Vill. Barakamat, P.O. Manikganjhat, Distt. Jalpaiguri, West Bengal.	—do—
8	—do—	—do—	Shri Amal Roy College Para, P.O. & Distt. Jalpaiguri, West Bengal.	—do—
9	—do—	21-Rajganj (SC) Assembly Constituency	Shri Birendra Nath Roy, Vill. Subhaspally, P.O. Rajganj, Distt. Jalpaiguri, West Bengal.	—do—
10	—do—	—do—	Shri Sudhir Chandra Sarkar, Vill. Pagnalupara, P.O. Sahudangihat, Distt. Jalpaiguri, West Bengal.	—do—

1	2	3	4	5
11.	General election to West Bengal Legislative Assembly, 1991	102-Kultali (SC) Assembly Constituency	Shri Nalini Ranjan Mandal, Vill. Dakshin Beledurganagar P.O. Uttar Beledurganagar Distt South 24-Parganas, West Bengal.	Failed to lodge any account of election expenses.
12.	—do—	103-Joynagar Assembly Constituency	Shri Golum Nabi Molla, Vill. Battala, P.O. Tallala, South 24-Parganas, West Bengal.	—do—
13.	—do—	—do—	Shri Guzi Likat, Vill. Uttarpara Niz, P.O. Moyda, P.S. Joynagar, South 24-Parganas, West Bengal.	—do—
14.	—do—	180-Serampore Assembly Constituency	Shri Avijit Ghosh 6/B, Chetra Ghosh. Para 1st Lane, Serampore, West Bengal.	—do—
15.	—do—	—do—	Shri Mammoth Nath Manna, 28, Chowdhury Para Lane, Serampore West Bengal.	—do—
16.	—do—	186-Chinsurah Assembly Constituency	Shri Byomkes Bandyopadhyay, Balagarh Road, P.O. and Distt. Hoegaly, West Bengal.	—do—

[No. 76/WB.LA/91]

By Order,
BALWANT SINGH, Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 10 अगस्त, 1992

आ. प्र. 227:—लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1950 (1950 का 43) की धारा 13 कक की उपधारा (1) और (2) के अनुसरण में और उसकी अधिसूचना संख्या 508/आ. प्र./78 तारीख 11 जून, 1979 का अधिक्रमण करते हुए, निर्वाचन आयोग राज्य सरकार के परामर्श से इसके द्वारा :

(1) नीचे की सारणी के स्तम्भ 1 में विनिर्दिष्ट आंध्र प्रदेश राज्य के प्रत्येक जिले के लिए स्तम्भ 2 में उसके सामने विनिर्दिष्ट अधिकारी या अधिकारियों को उस जिले के लिए जिला निर्वाचन अधिकारी के रूप में पदाभिहित करता है, और

(2) सारणी के स्तम्भ 3 में उस निर्वाचन क्षेत्र को विनिर्दिष्ट करता है जिसके संबंध में प्रत्येक ऐसा अधिकारी, अधिकारिता का प्रयोग करेगा।

सारणी

जिले की क्रम संख्या और नाम	जिला निर्वाचन अधिकारी का पदनाम	अधिकारिता क्षेत्र
1	2	3
1. श्रीकाकुलम	कलक्टर, श्रीकाकुलम जिला	श्रीकाकुलम जिला
2. विजियानगरम	कलक्टर, विजियानगरम जिला	विजियानगरम जिला
3. विशाखापटनम	कलक्टर, विशाखापटनम जिला	विशाखापटनम जिला
4. पूर्व गोदावरी	कलक्टर, पूर्व गोदावरी जिला	पूर्व गोदावरी जिला
5. पश्चिम गोदावरी	कलक्टर, पश्चिम गोदावरी जिला	पश्चिम गोदावरी जिला

1	2	3
6. कृष्णा	कलेक्टर, कृष्णा जिला	कृष्णा जिला
7. गुन्टूर	कलेक्टर, गुन्टूर जिला	गुन्टूर जिला
8. प्रकासम	कलेक्टर, प्रकासम जिला	प्रकासम जिला
9. नेल्लोर	कलेक्टर, नेल्लोर जिला	नेल्लोर जिला
10. चिन्तूर	कलेक्टर, चिन्तूर जिला	चिन्तूर जिला
11. कुड्डापहा	कलेक्टर, कुड्डापहा जिला	कुड्डापहा जिला
12. अनन्तपुर	कलेक्टर, अनन्तपुर जिला	अनन्तपुर जिला
13. कुरनूल	कलेक्टर, कुरनूल जिला	कुरनूल जिला
14. महबूब नगर	कलेक्टर, महबूब नगर जिला	महबूब नगर जिला
15. रंगारेड्डी	कलेक्टर, रंगारेड्डी जिला	रंगारेड्डी जिला
16. मेडक	कलेक्टर, मेडक जिला	मेडक जिला
17. निजामाबाद	कलेक्टर, निजामाबाद जिला	निजामाबाद जिला
18. आदिलाबाद	कलेक्टर, आदिलाबाद जिला	आदिलाबाद जिला
19. करीम नगर	कलेक्टर, करीम नगर जिला	करीम नगर जिला
20. वारंगल	कलेक्टर, वारंगल जिला	वारंगल जिला
21. खम्माम	कलेक्टर, खम्माम जिला	खम्माम जिला
22. नालगोंडा	कलेक्टर, नालगोंडा जिला	नालगोंडा जिला
23. हैदराबाद	1. कलेक्टर, हैदराबाद जिला 2. आयुक्त, एम सी एच, हैदराबाद	हैदराबाद जिला हैदराबाद शहर को छोड़कर हैदराबाद शहर

[सं. 508/आ. प्र./92]

आदेश से,

एस. डी. प्रसाद, सचिव

New Delhi, the 10th August, 1992

O.N. 227 :—In pursuance of sub-sections (1) and (2) of section 13AA of the Representation of the People Act, 1950 (43 of 1950), and in supersession of its Notification No. 508/AP/78 dated 11th June 79, the Election Commission in consultation with the State Government hereby :

- (1) designates for each district in the State of Andhra Pradesh specified in column 1 of Table below the officer or officers specified against it in column thereof as the District Election Officer for the District, and
- (2) specifies the area in respect of which each such officer shall exercise jurisdiction, in column 3 of the Table.

TABLE

Sl. No. & Name of District	Designation of District Election Officer	Area of jurisdiction
1. Srikakulam	Collector, Srikakulam Distt.	Srikakulam Distt.
2. Vizianagaram	Collector, Vizianagaram Distt.	Vizianagaram Distt.
3. Visakhapatnam	Collector, Visakhapatnam Distt.	Visakhapatnam Distt.
4. East Godavari	Collector, East Godavari Distt.	East Godavari, Distt.
5. West Godavari	Collector, West Godavari Distt.	West Godavari, Distt.
6. Krishna	Collector, Krishna Distt.	Krishna Distt.
7. Guntur	Collector, Guntur District	Guntur Distt.
8. Prakasam	Collector, Prakasam Distt.	Prakasam Distt.
9. Nellore	Collector, Nellore Distt.	Nellore, Distt.

1	2	3	4
10. Chittoor	Collector, Chittoor Distt.	Chittoor Distt.	
11. Cuddapah	Collector, Cuddapah Distt.	Cuddapah Distt.	
12. Anantapur	Collector, Anantapur Distt.	Anantapur Distt.	
13. Kurnool	Collector, Kurnool Distt.	Kurnool Distt.	
14. Mahabubnagar	Collector, Mahabubnagar Distt.	Mahabubnagar Distt.	
15. Rangareddy	Collector, Rangareddy Distt.	Rangareddy Distt.	
16. Medak	Collector, Medak Distt.	Medak Distt.	
17. Nizamabad	Collector, Nizamabad Distt.	Nizamabad Distt.	
18. Adilabad	Collector, Adilabad Distt.	Adilabad Distt.	
19. Karimnagar	Collector, Karimnagar Distt.	Karimnagar Distt.	
20. Warangal	Collector, Warangal Distt.	Warangal Distt.	
21. Khammam	Collector, Khammam Distt.	Khammam Distt.	
22. Nalgonda	Collector, Nalgonda Distt.	Nalgonda Distt.	
23. Hyderabad	1. Collector, Hyderabad Distt.	Hyderabad Distt. except Hyderabad city.	
	2. Commissioner, M.C.H., Hyderabad	Hyderabad City.	

[No. 508/AP/92]

By Order,

S.D. PERSHAD, Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 13 अगस्त, 1992

आ.आ. 228.—लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, (1951 का 43) की धारा 22 की उप-धारा-(9) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करने हुए, निर्वाचन आयोग यह निदेश देता है कि उसकी तारीख 18-10-1989 की अधिसूचना सं. 434/बिहार/89(2) में निम्नलिखित और संशोधन किए जाएंगे, अर्थात्:—

उक्त अधिसूचना में संलग्न सारणी के स्तम्भ 2 में:—

- (1) मद 1 के सामने विद्यमान प्रविष्टि 14 और 15 के स्थान पर "14, वरिष्ठतम कार्यपालन मजिस्ट्रेट, छपरा सदर" प्रविष्टि,
- (2) मद 7 के सामने विद्यमान प्रविष्टि 13 और 15 के स्थान पर "13 जिला योजना अधिकारी, छपरा" प्रविष्टि,
- (3) मद 45 के सामने विद्यमान प्रविष्टि 16 और 17 के स्थान पर "16, उप-प्रभागीय मजिस्ट्रेट के अगले रैंक के मजिस्ट्रेट, कोडरमा" प्रविष्टि,
- (4) मद 46 के सामने विद्यमान प्रविष्टि 11, 12 और 13 के स्थान पर "11, निदेशक प्रशासन, डी.आर. डी.ए., गिरिडीह, 12 उप-प्रभागीय मजिस्ट्रेट के अगले रैंक के डिप्टी-कलक्टर, गिरिडीह, 14, तृतीय अधिकारी, गिरिडीह" प्रविष्टियां,

रखी जाएगी।

[सं. 434/बिहार/92(2)]

आदेश से,

बलवन्त सिंह, सचिव

New Delhi, the 13th August, 1992

O.N. 228.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 22 of the Representation of the People Act, 1951 (43 of 1951) the Election Commission hereby directs that the following further amendments shall be made in its notification No. 434/BR/89(2), dated 18-10-1989, namely:—

In column 2 of the table appended to the said notification:—

- (i) for the existing entries 14 & 15 against item 6, the entry "14 Senior most Executive Magistrate, Chapra, Sadar";
- (ii) for the existing entries 13 & 14 against item 7, the entry "13 District Planning Officer, Chapra";
- (iii) for the existing entries 16 & 17 against items 45 the entry "16 Magistrate next in rank to the Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Koderma";
- (iv) for the existing entries 11, 12 & 13 against item 46 the entries "11 Director Administration, D.R.D.A., Giridih, 12 Deputy Collector next in rank to the Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Giridih, 14 Third Officer, Giridih".

shall be substituted.

[No. 434/BR/92(2)]

By order,

BALWANT SINGH, Secy.

शुद्धि-पत्र

नई दिल्ली, 12 अगस्त, 1992

आ.आ. 229.—तारीख 7 मई, 1992 के आयोग के आदेश सं. 76/राज. वि.सं./92(6) के हिंदी रूपान्तर में क्रम सं. 521 व 522 के सामने स्तम्भ 3 में "9—हनुमान-गढ़" के स्थान पर "162—आरबी" के रूप में पढ़ा जाय।

[सं. 76/राज.-वि.सं./91]

ए.के. श्रीवास्तव, सचिव

New Delhi, the 20th August, 1992

ERRATA

O.N. 229.—In Commission's Order No. 76/RJ-LA/91(6), dated 7th May, 1992 (in Hindi version), the entry in column 3 against Sl. Nos. 521 and 522 may be read as "162-Kharchi" instead of 4-Hanumangarh'.

[No. 76/RJ-LA/91]

By order,

A. K. SRIVASTAVA, Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 26 अगस्त, 1992

आ.प्र. 230.—लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 (1951 का 13) की धारा 106 के अनुसरण में, निर्वाचन आयोग 1991 की निर्वाचन अर्जों सं. 9 में मध्य प्रदेश उच्च न्यायालय, जबलपुर के तारीख 4, जुलाई, 1992 के आदेशों को एतद्वारा प्रकाशित करता है।

[सं. 82/म.प्र.—लो.स./4/91/92]

आदेश से,

बलवन्त सिंह, सचिव

New Delhi, the 26th August, 1992

O.N. 230.—In pursuance of section 106 of the Representation of the People Act, 1951 (43 of 1951) the Election Commission hereby publishes the order of the High Court of Madhya Pradesh, Jabalpur dated 9th July, 1992 in Election Petition No. 4 of 1991.

[No. 82/MP-HP/4/91/92]

By order,

BALWANT SINGH, Secy.

ELECTION PETITION NO. 4 OF 1991

Narayan Das Inqlabi Gandhi

v.

Ku, Pushpa Devi Singh & 12 others

ORDER

This Order will dispose of Interlocutory Application No. 65 of 1991, dated 20-10-1991, filed by the Returned Candidate respondent No. 1 Ku, Pushpa Devi Singh purporting to one under Order 6 Rule 16 and Order 7 Rule 11 of the Code of Civil Procedure with a prayed to, strike down the entire pleadings as contained in the Election Petition challenging the election of respondent No. 1 as Member of Parliament from the Parliamentary Constituency No. 13 Raigarh, which is a reserved seat for the Schedule Tribe candidate, on the ground that the pleadings contained in the Election petition are utterly vague and lacking in material particulars not disclosing complete cause of action.

2. The General Election for Constitution of parliament of the Indian Republic took place in the month of May, 1991, according to the programme declared by the Election Commission of India, which is as follows :—

- (1) Filing of Nomination Paper : Upto 26-4-1991.
- (2) Scrutiny of Nomination Paper : 26-4-1991.
- (3) Withdrawal of Nomination Paper : 27th to 29th April, 1991.
- (4) Date of Polling : 20-5-1991.
- (5) Declaration of Result : Originally fixed for 26-5-1991 but held on 16-6-1991.

3. During the aforesaid General Election Raigarh Parliamentary Constituency No. 13 which is reserved for a Schedule Tribe Candidate also went to Pool on 20-5-1991. The respondent No. 1 to 13 contested the election from Raigarh Parliamentary Constituency. The returned candidate/respondent No. 1 Ku, Pushpa Devi Singh was an official candidate

of Indian National Congress while the respondent No. 2-Nand Kumar Sai was the official candidate of Bhartiya Janta Party. Other respondents contested on the ticket of some other parties while some of them were Independent Candidates. The main contest was between the respondent No. 1 Ku, Pushpa Devi Singh, Congress Candidate, and the respondent No. 2 Nand Kumar Sai, the Bhartiya Janta Party Candidate. On the date of polling, Out of total electorate 8,39,641 of Raigarh Constituency, 3,74,131 voters exercise their franchise. The congress candidate respondent No. 1 secured 1,94,080 votes and the second largest number of votes were secured by the respondent No. 2, the Bhartiya Janta Party candidate who had polled 1,38,266 votes. The Congress candidate respondent No. 1 Pushpa Devi Singh had, thus, won the election by margin of more than 55,000 votes. The petitioner, who is an elector of Raigarh Parliamentary Constituency, has challenged the election of the returned candidate/respondent No. 1 in this petition filed under Sections 80, 81, 82, 83 and 84 of the Representation of People Act, 1951 (hereinafter referred to as the 'ACT', on various grounds.

4. Besides the returned candidate/respondent No. 1, the respondent No. 13-C, John has also filed the written statement supporting the petitioner. All other respondents have neither filed the written statement nor have come forward to oppose this petition. The respondent No. 13 after filing the written statement, made appearance through counsel on some of the dates but none appeared for him also on 2-7-1992 when arguments on I.A. No. 63/91 were heard.

5. The respondent No. 1 has pleaded in her written statement that the election petition suffers from concise statement of material facts and does not disclose any cause of action while challenging the election of the returned candidate and has, therefore, on that count, sought dismissal of the petition. In addition to this, the returned candidate/respondent No. 1 has further made a separate application (I.A. No. 63/91) which is under consideration high-lighting the points to show that the election petition does not disclose any cause of action and, therefore, it should be struck down at the threshold under Order 6 Rule 16 and Order 7 Rule 11 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

6. Section 83 of the Act contains a mandatory provision contemplating that an election petition :—

- (a) shall contain a concise statement of the material facts on which the petitioner relies; AND,
- (b) shall set forth full particulars of any corrupt practice that the petitioner alleges.

Section 87 of that Act lays down the procedure for trial of the election petition before the High Court. It contemplates that subject to the provisions of this Act and of any rules made thereunder, every election petition shall be tried by the High Court, as nearly as may be, in accordance with the procedure applicable under the Code of Civil Procedure. In this connection, it may be pointed out that Order 7 Rule 11(a) of the Code empowers the Court to reject the plaint where it does not disclose a cause of action. From the provisions discussed above it is distinctly clear that the Election Petition may be dismissed at the threshold if it does not disclose a cause of action and the cause of action is nothing but the bundle of material facts. In Azhar Hussain v. Rajiv Gandhi (AIR 1986 SC 1253), it has been held that "an election petition can be and must be dismissed under the provisions of Civil Procedure Code, if the mandatory requirements enjoined by Section 83 to incorporate the material facts and particulars relating to alleged corrupt practice in the election petition are not complied with and that an election petition can be summarily dismissed if it does not furnish cause of action in exercise of the powers under the Code of Civil Procedure. It has been further held that a single material fact would lead to an incomplete cause of action and that an election petition without the material facts relating to corrupt practice is not an election petition at all. In the light of the law discussed above and the decision referred to above, I shall now proceed to examine the allegations made in the petition and the grounds on which the election of the returned can-

candidate has been challenged in order to see whether it discloses any cause of action for investigation and trial.

7. The contents of paragraphs 1 to 7 of the Election Petition are only formal in nature. In paragraph (8) of the petition, the petitioner has alleged that the returning Officer had issued public notice dated 19-4-1991 (Annexure P3) specifying the Court of Collector as the place for delivery of nomination papers and in paragraph (9) of the petition, it has been alleged that the Returning Officer did not receive the nomination paper in the Court of Collector but in the Office of the Collector which fact was discovered only when the respondent No. 13-C, John submitted his nomination paper and insisted that it should be received at the place specified in the public notice (Annexure P3), that is the Court of Collector. It has been therefore, alleged that due to the acceptance of nomination papers at a place different from one specified in the public notice (Annexure P3), some persons, who were willing to file nomination and contest the election, misled and could not file the nomination papers resulting in denial of their fundamental right to contest the election. It has also been alleged that on account of this gross irregularity committed by the Returning Officer, the whole election process has been materially affected. It is true that Section 31 of the Act enjoins a duty of the Returning Officer to give public notice inviting nomination papers of candidates specifying the place at which the nomination papers are to be delivered. It is equally true that the Returning Officer has specified the Court of Collector as the place for delivery of nomination papers. In the words of public notice (Annexure P3) issued by the Returning Officer the place for delivery of nomination papers had been specified as follows :

“कोलेक्टर के न्यायालय कक्ष”

It is an admitted fact that all other nomination papers except that of respondent No. 13 were received by the Returning Officer in his Office Room and not in the Court Room. The question, therefore, arises as to what is the material effect in receiving the nomination papers in the Office Room instead of Court Room and in what manner, the election process or the result of the election has materially affected. The object and purpose of Section 31 of the Act in specifying the place for delivery of nomination papers is to give a definite idea to the persons interested in contesting the election as to the exact place where they have to tender their nomination papers so that there may not be any confusion in their mind about the place.

8. In the present case, according to the petitioner himself, all the candidates had filed their nomination papers before the Returning Officer in his Office room and the respondent No. 13 had approached the Returning Officer with his nomination paper in his Office room but insisted that he should shift to the Court room in order to receive his nomination paper. These facts themselves eloquently speak that the contestant has no confusion about the delivery of nomination papers. Though the petitioner in paragraph 9 of his petition alleged that some persons, who were willing to file nomination papers and contest the election, were misled and could not file their nomination papers, but has not mentioned the name of a single person who was desirous of filing and contesting the election but could not do so for change of the place for delivery of the nomination papers. This apart, the Office of the Collector and the Court room of the Collector both are adjacently situated in the Collectorate there being only one dividing wall between the two. In a sense the Office of the Collector is the integral part of his Court room and that being so it is difficult to assume that any one could have been misled as the place for delivery of nomination papers. In these circumstances, it is difficult to accept that there was any material effect in the election process or the result of the election, due to the fact that the Returning Officer received the nomination papers in his Office room instead of Court room. A Division Bench of this Court also had an occasion to deal with similar question in the case of Hariram Singh v. Kamta Prasad (AIR 1966 MP 255) in which it has been observed that the nomination papers can be validly received by the Returning Officer at every place within the Collectorate and not necessarily in the Court room of the Collector.

9. The second ground taken by the petitioner is contained in paragraph 10 of the election petition wherein it had been alleged that the nomination papers of one Prem Singh Rathia was wrongly rejected by the Returning Officer on the ground that he had subscribed the oath before filing the nomination papers. It has been alleged that the Returning Officer had wrongly noted the time of submission of the nomination paper and the overwriting on the oath form makes it clear that the time was wrongly written over it by the Returning Officer. First of all, it may be pointed out that the petitioner has neither filed nomination papers of Prem Singh Rathia nor their copies. The respondent No. 1 has, however, filed true copy of the nomination papers of Prem Singh Rathia as Annexure R-1-2 and his oath form which is Annexure R-1-3. A perusal of the oath form (Annexure R-1-3) will go to show that there is absolutely no overwriting as regards the time mentioned in it about the taking of oath. The allegation about the over writing by the Returning Officer is absolutely baseless and without any foundation.

10. A perusal of Annexure I-2 the nomination paper of Prem Singh Rathia will go to show that it was submitted to the Returning Officer at 12.45 while the oath form (Annexure R-1-3) seems to have been submitted by Prem Singh Rathia same day earlier in which the time for taking the oath is mentioned at 11.50. Prem Singh, thus, took the oath that “he will bear true faith and allegiance to the constitution of India as by law established and that he will uphold the sovereignty and integrity of India”. There is no dispute that such an oath has to be taken or administered after the nomination paper is filed by a candidate. But, in the present case, as seen above, the candidate Prem Singh Rathia had taken the oath much before he filed his nomination paper. Consequently, the Returning Officer was fully justified in rejecting his nomination paper. See in Pashupati Nath v. Harihar Prasad Singh (AIR 1968 SC 1064).

11. The next ground to challenge the election of the returned candidate is contained in paragraph 11 of the Election Petition wherein the petitioner has alleged that the nomination papers of Shri Ishaq Siddiqui respondent No. 4 Alvis Kujur/respondent No. 5, Smt. Kanti Devi Sidarth/respondent No. 6, Ku. Sandhya Devi/respondent No. 8, Asha Ram Gond/respondent No. 9 and Bhimsen Uraon/respondent No. 11 were wrongly accepted because all of them had taken oath also before filing of their nomination forms. It has been pleaded that the time of presentation of nomination papers and making of oath is the same which is not possible, which fact was admitted by the Returning Officer at the time of scrutiny. Here, it may again be pointed out that the petitioner has neither filed the nomination papers nor the oath forms of any of these candidates to show the timings of filing of the nomination papers and the time of taking the oath by them. However, the petitioner has filed the order of the Returning Officer dated 27-4-1991 (Annexure P5) rejecting the objection taken by C. John/respondent No. 13 about the acceptance of nomination papers of these candidates. In this connection a reference to paragraph 8 of the order of the Returning Officer may be made in which he has stated that these candidates had mentioned the same time for taking the oath as the time mentioned in their nomination papers from which it cannot be assumed that these candidates had taken the oath before filing of their nomination papers. The Returning Officer has clearly mentioned that, in fact, these candidates had taken the oath immediately on submission of their nomination papers. Thus, filing of the nomination paper was followed by taking of oath which could not have taken more than a minute. In my view there could be no two opinions about it. The filing of nomination was immediately followed by taking of oath and there was, thus, no illegality in accepting the nomination papers of these candidates. This ground also does not disclose any triable cause of action.

12. This brings me to the allegations contained in paragraph 12 of the Election Petition regarding the publication and distribution of certain pamphlets which are filed by the petitioner along with his petition as Annexures P6 and P7. It has been alleged that these pamphlets and cards were printed by one Shri Krishan Kumar Gupta, a sitting M.L.A., with the knowledge and consent of the returned candidate/respondent No. 1 Ku. Pushpa Devi Singh and the same were distributed amongst the voters during the time of electioneering and campaigning. It has been alleged that these pamphlets and cards do not contain the print line as required by

Section 127(a) of the Act nor Shri Krishan Kumar Gupta or the respondent No. 1 Ku. Pushpa Devi Singh sent any declaration within 10 days of the printing of these pamphlets and cards to the District Magistrate as required by law. It is further alleged that Ku. Pushpa Devi Singh did not include the expenses incurred in printing these pamphlets and cards in the account of election expenses submitted by her to the Returning Officer which is a corrupt practice within the meaning of Sub-Section (6) of Section 123 read with Section 77 of the Act. It has, therefore, been contended that the election of returned candidate/respondent No. 1 is liable to be declared void. A perusal of Annexures P/6 and P/7 will go to show that they neither contained any press line nor the name of the returned candidate/respondent No. 1 but they simply contained the name of Shri Krishna Kumar Gupta. No facts and circumstances have been pleaded to infer or attribute knowledge on the part of the respondent No. 1 regarding their printing or distribution or any connection or concern with the respondent No. 1. A vague allegation has been made that these pamphlets were printed and distributed with the knowledge and consent of the returned candidate Ku. Pushpa Singh. The allegations are, thus, wanting in precise statement of material fact so as to connect the respondent No. 1 with the knowledge and consent about their publication and distribution. If the allegation about publication and distribution of these pamphlets with the knowledge and consent of respondent No. 1 could not be accepted for want of precise statement of material facts, the question of incurring expenses and submission of accounts in that behalf will not arise. These allegations, therefore also do not afford a triable cause of action in challenging the election of the returned candidate/respondent No. 1.

13. Yet another ground taken by the petitioner in challenging the election of the returned candidate is contained in paragraph 13 of the petition wherein it has been alleged that the election expenditure submitted by the election agent of the returned candidate is not in accordance with the provisions of Sections 77 and 78 of the Act inasmuch as the account of election expenditure is neither signed nor verified by the returned candidate Ku. Pushpa Devi Singh and thus, she is guilty of corrupt practice within the meaning of Section 123(6) read with section 77 of the Act. In this connection, it may be pointed out that failure to maintain a proper account or to vouch for the items of expenditure is not by itself a corrupt practice. "What is required to be proved under the Act by the petitioner is that the successful candidate incurred or authorised certain expenditure which was not shown in the return of expenses. That being so, even if it is accepted that the accounts of election expenditure is neither signed nor verified by the returned candidate, the same would not make the election itself liable to be set aside as it would not amount to any corrupt practice. But in case, it is found that the Returned Candidate has incurred or authorised certain expenditure which has not been shown in the return of expenses then of course it will amount to a corrupt practice on proof of which the election would be liable to be set aside. But in the present case, as seen above, there is no basis to hold that the returned candidate was responsible for the publication and distribution of the pamphlets (Annexures P/6 & P/7) and, therefore, it is absolutely baseless to allege that the expenditure incurred in publication and distribution of those pamphlets should have been shown by the returned candidate. This apart, if any candidate has failed to lodge his account of election expenses as required by law, it is a matter to be investigated by the Election Commission of India, who on findings some default on the part of some candidates, may disqualify him under Section 10-A of the Act, of the said failure.

14. Here it may also be pointed out that though the petitioner has alleged that the returned candidate has neither signed nor verified her accounts of election expenditure but has not filed the certified copy of the accounts of the election expenditure of the returned candidate. The respondent No. 13 has, however, filed the true copy of the election accounts of respondent No. 1 which are Annexure R/13(vi) and Annexure R/13(vii). A perusal of these two documents will go to show that there is no clause for verification of these accounts by the candidate. It only required counter signature

of the candidate. A perusal of these two documents will also go to show that the accounts are signed by the election agent of the respondent No. 1 which are also counter-signed by the respondent No. 1 herself. There does not appear to be any error or infirmity as regards the signature and counter-signature of the election accounts. This ground, therefore, also does not stand.

15. The next ground challenging the election is contained in paragraph 14 of the election petition wherein the allegation made is that Form-18 of the Conduct of Elections Rules, 1961, used for appointment of counting agent was not signed by the person who were to be appointed as counting agents nor it was signed by the respondent No. 1 Ku. Pushpa Devi Singh which is a violation of Rule 52 of the Rules, 1961. According to the petitioner, this is a corrupt practice within the meaning of Section 123(6) of the Act. At the time of arguments on Interlocutory Application No. 63 of 1991, the learned counsel for the petitioner was unable to point out as to which clause of Section 123 of the Act was attracted according to which is the omission of signatures of persons and the candidates in Form-18 amounted to corrupt practice. I have perused all sub-sections of Section 123 of the Act and find that such omission does not amount to any corrupt practice. The petitioner has not filed the said Form to show that it did not contain the signature of the person or that of the respondent No. 1 to substantiate the charge. However, even if the allegation is accepted, it would at the most amount to an irregularity having no material effect on the result of the election. This ground, therefore, does not afford any basis to hold the election as void.

16. The last allegation challenging the election is to be found in paragraphs 15 and 16 of the election petition. It has been alleged that the respondent No. 8-Sandhya Devi had submitted her first nomination form declaring her to be a candidate of "Samaj Vadi Janta Party", but at the time of distribution of election symbol, she has been allotted the symbol of Janta Party and due to this faulty distribution of symbol, the result of election was materially affected because if the respondent No. 8 was allotted the election symbol of "Samaj Vadi Janta Party" a large number of votes polled by the returned candidate would have been polled by respondent No. 8 Sandhya Devi. The allegation is ridiculous. As said earlier, except the respondents No. 1 and 2 and all other candidates, respondents herein, had lost their deposit. If all the votes polled by respondents No. 3 to 13 are added together that will not exceed the margin of votes by which the returned candidate has won the election. The allegation is, therefore, neither here nor there as in any case, it would not have materially affected the election result at all. In paragraph 16, the petitioner has also alleged that the respondent No. 8 Sandhya Devi has not shown the expenditure incurred in printing certain pamphlets. This allegation is against the respondent No. 8 and the respondent No. 1 has nothing to do with it. If the respondent No. 8 has committed any lapse with regard to lodging of her election accounts, she may be dealt with in accordance with law.

17. In view of the aforesaid discussions, the preliminary objection raised by the respondent No. 1 in I. A. No. 63/91 has to be accepted and the election petition deserves to be rejected at the threshold under Order 7, Rule 11 of the Code read with Section 87 of the Act as the petition does not disclose any triable cause of action.

18. In the result, the Interlocutory Application No. 63 of 1991 is allowed and the election petition is hereby rejected dismissed with costs accordingly. Counsel's fee Rs. 500/- to be paid by the petitioner to the respondent No. 1, if certified. The respondents No. 2 to 13 shall bear their respective costs.

Sd./-

FAIZANUDDIN, Judge.

9-7-1992.